

M UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

CSIB 565/NRE 513 Strategies for Sustainable Development

FALL 2005

Syllabus

Class Meets: Tuesday and Thursday 8:50 a.m. – 10:20 a.m. October 31 – December 13 Room E0550 12 sessions

Professor Andrew Hoffman Email: ajhoff@umich.edu Office #1: ER2603, Business School Office #2: 3508, Dana

Phone: 734-763-9455

Course Overview

While the term sustainable development (or sustainability) dates back to 1968 (see http://www.iisd.org/rio+5/timeline/sdtimeline.htm), the most frequently cited definition comes from the 1987 Bruntland Commission report which called for development that "meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." Giving more structure to this definition, sustainability has been described as focusing on the "triple bottom line," the need to balance the three E's in the global economy: (1) economic prosperity, (2) environmental quality and (3) social equity.

But is the issue of sustainable development the new business challenge? Has the third component of the triple bottom line, social equity, entered the sphere of the business system? Proponents like Stuart Hart (Harvard Business Review, 1997) argue that "today many companies have accepted their responsibility to do no harm to the environment, products and production processes are becoming cleaner and where such change is under way. The environment is on the mend...But the distance we've traveled will seem small when, in 30 years, we look back at the 1990s. Beyond greening lies an enormous challenge and an enormous opportunity. The challenge is to develop a sustainable global economy: an economy that the planet is capable of supporting indefinitely." And corporate executives can now be heard making proclamations about their responsibilities for sustainable development. Edgar Woolard, while Chairman of DuPont wrote, "Industry, as society's producer has a special role to play in creating sustainable development, and some of us in the industrial community are working on ways to make sustainability a characteristic of industrial programs." Frank Popoff, while CEO of the Dow Chemical Company wrote, "If we view sustainable development as an opportunity for growth and not as prohibitive, industry can shape a new social and ethical framework for assessing our relationship with our environment and each other." William C. Ford, Jr., present chairman of the board of the Ford Motor Company wrote "A good company delivers excellent products and services and strives to make the world a better place. Great companies understand that to fully meet the expectations of consumers, they address the concerns of society. That is the only way to ensure sustainable development and growth. It is also the best way to richly reward shareholders."

Moving beyond rhetoric, several companies have taken action on establishing sustainability strategies. In 1998, Nike announced sweeping improvements in worldwide operations for its half million workers in 350 countries. The company set a minimum worker age of 18 and established a timetable for instituting US air quality standards at all its facilities. The company also created a new Corporate Responsibility Division with 75 employees and helped negotiate an agreement between labor rights groups and the apparel industry to allow independent groups to monitor

factories for fair labor practices. Shell has also taken action on sustainability, developing a new management system with performance metrics to address its financial, environmental and social performance in an integrated and quantifiable manner. In 1998, the company published its first sustainability report, describing how the company's operations in 125 countries are "striving to live up to our responsibilities — financial, social and environmental" and offering the results of an auditor's report, verifying the assertions given in the report.

But, the question remains as to whether there is a business imperative for the sustainability agenda. Is the business environment changing? Are the actions of companies like Nike and Shell indicative of new standards by which other companies will follow? One problem is that companies remain unclear on the definition of sustainable development. Even those who support the concept disagree on its precise meaning. It is being defined by academics, government agencies, activists and corporate officials in diverse and often conflicting ways. The United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development has proposed a set of indicators which are primarily meant as countrylevel social measures. But, if institutionalized they could act as a guide for the emergent definition of sustainable corporate practice. They include: income inequality; average life expectancy; level of crime; number of homeless; population growth rate; difference between male and female school enrollment rates; per capita consumption of fossil fuels for transportation; the ration of the average house price to the average income; living space (floor area) per person; environmentally adjusted net domestic product; energy consumption; the intensity of materials use; percentage of population with adequate excreta disposal facilities; share of renewable energy resources consumed; annual withdrawals of ground and surface water; the ration of debt service to export earnings; the maximum sustainable yield for fisheries; changes in land use; percent of arable land that is irrigated; energy use in agriculture; emissions of greenhouse gases; waste recycling and reuse; and access to information

The values that underlie these metrics appear to be divergent from the presently accepted measures and objectives of economic growth and business strategy. And integrating the values underlying these notions into the market system poses a serious challenge to business managers trying to understand what is expected of them and their companies. In this course, we will explore how some of them are doing this and consider what more may be expected from business in the present and future business environment.

Course Expectations

Because this class depends on our interactive dialogue, <u>class participation</u> is essential, both for your and your classmate's learning. If you have reservations about speaking in class, you may wish to carefully consider your taking this class. When you speak in class, your emphasis should be on quality as opposed to quantity. Your comments should be focused on one point and should be backed up with sound reasoning. Comments should transcend the "I feel" syndrome. This is too easy a topic to drift into easy platitudes and reactionary statements. You should build your comments on models, evidence or analysis of inherent tradeoffs. In other words, the comments should demonstrate some reflective thinking.

Generally a high participation grade is difficult to achieve if you are absent. Therefore, class **attendance** is critically important. If you must miss a class, please inform me in advance.

In this course you will be expected to do a lot of <u>reading</u>. On such a topical and emergent issue, discussion without solid analysis and evocative case examples would likely digress into a fruitless exchange of unsupported opinions. You will be expected to read the assigned materials and cases. You will also be expected to gather additional readings that are pertinent to the topic of the day. I strongly encourage you to <u>research</u> other related articles that can be used to enhance and elevate class discussion.

To aid you in the reflection process inherent in a class such as this, you are encouraged to keep a **journal** of your thoughts as we progress through the semester. This journal will help you sort out issues that the course raises. You will not be asked to actually hand in your journals but the contents would be ideal for our discussion in the final session.

Course Requirements

Requirement #1 – Contributions to Class Learning and Discussion

33%

Class participation is a very important part of the learning process (as well as an important part of your grade). It is critical not only for your personal learning, but also for the learning of your fellow classmates. Much of the value of the class comes from prepared, thoughtful, and informed dialogue between you and your classmates. You are expected to read all the materials and you should apply the material in those readings to your class discussion. Beyond this requirement, there will be two contributing factors towards your class participation grade. First, you will be scheduled to open at least one session, summarizing the important facts of the case and presenting an assessment of the problem, the underlying issues and several possible solutions. A good opening will stimulate discussion by exposing the range of discussion topics and scenarios. Where more than one person is opening a case, I will arrange for you to debate each other over the issues in the case based on some of the criticism articles distributed throughout the syllabus. Second, you will be expected to participate in that class discussion in a thoughtful, productive and meaningful way. The following are some of the behaviors we look for as good contributions to class discussions: Raising relevant, insightful questions; Making comments that build on the ideas of others, moving the discussion and analysis forward; Helping other students contribute their ideas; Offering your own ideas to help analyze the case and to develop a sound course of action; Sharing your own personal experiences in a way that adds to our understanding of the topic being discussed; Talking with appropriate frequency (i.e., neither dominating the conversation nor being too quiet).

Requirement #2 – Futures Scenario (Individual)

33%

The business environment is changing. Sustainable development is emerging as a concern within policy and economic circles. But what does it mean? And what will it mean? Your task in this individual assignment is to play business futures expert. To begin, you will submit a two page statement of what you think is the definition of sustainable development for session #2. Then, you will write a seven page report on what you think global businesses will be expected to do in the next ten years with regards to sustainable development. Consider, for example, the metrics listed by the United Nations Commission on Sustainable Development mentioned above. Will business really have to care about the literacy of its workers and communities around its facilities? Also, include within your analysis some consideration for what will get us there. Were the Seattle trade riots the beginning of a new social movement that will push business towards more sustainable behavior? Is government the key? Will companies find it in their own strategic interests to adopt sustainability measures? And what will they be? Please be creative and thoughtful about this, but please try to avoid unrealistic prognostications. The packet documents: Davis, G. (1997) Exploring Sustainable Development: Summary Brochure (Geneva, Switzerland: World Business Council for Sustainable Development) and Schwartz, P. (1996) The Art of the Long View: Planning for the Future in an Uncertain World (Currency Publishers), pp. 1-28. should be helpful as you consider possible futures. Your argument should include specific supporting facts where possible and should be properly cited in footnotes at the end of the text. (Please use the style guide at the end of this syllabus.) Your paper should not be more than 7 pages (not including footnotes and up to two attachments), double spaced, 12 point, times roman font with one-inch margins. You should handin and be prepared to discuss your analysis in class in session #12.

In this group assignment, you will assess the Annual Sustainability Report of a company of your own choosing. Compare it to the reporting requirements of the Global Reporting Initiative (http://www.globalreporting.org/). As the adage goes, you can't manage what you can't measure. Do you like what they are measuring? Do the metrics that are presented lead you to believe that the company is on track towards sustainability as you or others define it? Why? Why not? Do you like this report...as a business person in the company, as an investor, as an activist? Your argument should include specific data and should be properly cited in footnotes at the end of the text. (Please use the style guide at the end of this syllabus.) Your paper should not be more than seven pages (not including footnotes and up to two attachments), double spaced, 12 point, times roman font with one-inch margins. You should hand-in and be prepared to present your analysis in class in session #8.

Required Course Materials

There is a course packet of cases and a required text for this course. This reading should be supplemented by steady reading of contemporary environmental issues as published in *The New York Times*, *Wall Street Journal*, *Business Week* etc.

Required:

- Davis, G. (1997) Exploring Sustainable Development: Summary Brochure (Geneva, Switzerland: World Business Council for Sustainable Development); Available on the web at www.wbcsd.org.
- Doering, D. et al. (2002) *Tomorrow's Markets: Global Trends and Their Implications for Business* (Geneva, Switzerland: World Business Council for Sustainable Development); *Available on the web at www.wbcsd.org*.
- Schwartz, P. (1996) The Art of the Long View: Planning for the Future in an Uncertain World (Currency Publishers), pp. 3-42, 241-248 *In reading packet*.
- · Reading Packet

CSIB 565/SNRE 513: Class Schedule

Session #1		Introduction	November 1
READINGS: CASE: QUESTIONS:	CRITIQUE	Tomorrow's Markets, Foreword, Preface and Chapter 5, Holliday, C., S. Schmidheiny and P. Watts (2002) "The for sustainable development," Chapter 1 in Walking the Francisco: Berrett-Hohler Publishers): 12-38. Hoffman, A. (2000) "Environmental strategy and sustain development," Chapter 11 in Competitive Environmental (Washington DC: Island Press): 228-242. (available on Norman, W. and C. MacDonald (2003) "Getting to the triple bottom line'," Business Ethics Quarterly. AES Global Values (HBS 9-399-136) Who's environmental values should determine the plant AES should specify for its coal plant project in India? Sustandards at a higher price or India's standards at a low What other values are at play in this situation? How do trade-offs among them? With the lower standards, is AE poor people to greater risk? With higher standards, is AE eco-imperialism? In your opinion, is it possible to developed to the development of the plant of the properties of the standards for business, or do cultural different universal standards impractical, if not impossible?	business case Talk (San hable al Strategy cTools) bottom of the technology should it meet bwer price? you balance ES exposing ES practicing op a universal
Session #2	Su	stainable Development and Business Strategy I	November 3
READINGS:	•	Tomorrow's Markets, Chapter 3, pp. 30-37.	
	•	Hart, S. (1997) "Beyond greening: Strategies for a sustain	inable world,"
		Harvard Business Review, Jan-Feb: 66-76.	
	•	Appenzeller, T. (2004) "The end of cheap oil," <i>National</i> June: 80-109.	Geograpnic,
	•	PriceWaterhouseCoopers (2002) Sustainability Survey R	•
	CRITIQUE	(2001) "Economics focus: Curse of the ethical	executive," The
CACE		Economist, November 17: 70.	005 10 202
CASE:	•	Sustainable Development at Shell (A & B) (HBS 9-303-072)	005 and 9-303-
QUESTIONS: DUE:	•	What do you think of Shell's efforts at sustainable devel window-dressing or genuine? Why are they doing this? an investor, feel pleased with what they are doing? What Nigerian living near some of their wells in Africa? Can even be sustainable in the face of concerns over climate availability of oil reserves an issue? Should they fund the Is it consistent with their values and objectives? Individual Assignment (part 1)	Would you, as at if you were a an oil company change? Is the

α	•	110
. O	ssion	# 4

Sustainable Development and Business Strategy II

November 8

READINGS:

- *Tomorrow's Markets*, Chapter 1, pp. 8-19.
- McDonough, W. and M. Braungart (1998) "The next industrial revolution," *The Atlantic Monthly*, October.
- Prahalad, C.K. and S. Hart (2002) "The fortune at the bottom of the pyramid," *Strategy and Business*, 26: 55-67.
- Myers, Steven Lee (1999) "South Africa and U.S. End Dispute Over Drugs," *New York Times*, September 18: p. A8.
- McNeil, Donald (2001) "A Rush for Cipro, and the Global Ripples,"
 New York Times, October 17: p. A1.
- Shulman, S. (2001) "In Africa, Patents Kill," *Technology Review*, April: 37.

CRITIQUE

Murray, A. (2005) "Will 'Social Responsibility' Harm Business?" Wall Street Journal, May 18: A2.

CASE: QUESTIONS:

- Merck & Co., Inc. A & B (HBS 9-991-021 & 9-991-022)
- What are the stakes for Vagelos as CEO and for Merck as a company in deciding whether to invest in Dr. Campbell's idea? What might Merck tell a shareholder who agrees with the *Economist* argument in the readings and might complain about a decision to invest in research on river blindness? What are the stakes for Merck if it decides to give the new drug away for free? How strongly should the company guard its patents on these drugs? What would be the long-term impacts of these decisions?

Session #4

Corporate Objectives and a Humanitarian Crisis

November 10

READINGS:

- *Tomorrow's Markets*, Chapter 4, pp. 38-47.
- Hedstrom, G. J. Shopley and C. LeDuc (2000) "Realizing the sustainable development premium," *Prism*/Arthur D. Little, First Ouarter: 5-19.
- _____ (2003) "Business and AIDS in Africa: Follow my lead," *The Economist*, October 11.

CRITIQUE

Meckling, William and Michael Jensen (1983) "Reflections on the corporation as a social invention," *Midland Corporate Finance Journal*, Fall: pp. 6-15.

CASE: QUESTIONS:

- IFC Against AIDS: Allera, Inc. (International Finance Corporation).
- What are Allera's risks and vulnerabilities with respect to HIV/AIDS? What costs does the company face if it does nothing? What are the benefits? What are the strengths of their actions? What could they have done better? How do you compare the situation facing Allera with that of Merck's on river blindness or that of AIDS drug makers in Africa or Cipro drug makers after the October anthrax attacks?

Session #5

Industrial Ecology

November 15

READINGS:

- Ehrenfeld, J. (2004) "Searching for sustainability: No quick fix," *Reflections: The SoL Journal on Knowledge, Learning and Change*, 5 (8): 1-13.
- Ehrenfeld, J. and N. Gertler (1996) "Industrial symbiosis: A natural way to organize production," *Technology Review*.

CRITIQUE

Goodman, A. (2000) "Down with SD, Long live SD!" *Tomorrow*, September/October: 64.

VIDEO:

• Blue Vinyl (90 minutes)

Session #6		NGO Strategy	November 17
READINGS:	•	(2003) "Non-governmental organizations ar	nd business: Living
		with the enemy," <i>The Economist</i> , August 9: 49-50.	
	•	Williamson, H. (2003) "Signing up to corporate citized	enship," Financial
		Times, February 12: 12.	- 4 C11
	•	(1999) "Protests Heard by WTO? Seattle Ri	ot Snocks
	•	Delegates," <i>The Toronto Sun</i> , December 2: p. 1. Ball, D. (2004) "Ex-Activists Find Grass Greener on	Corporata Sida "
	•	Wall Street Journal, November 17: A1.	Corporate side,
	CRITIQUE	Goldstein, A. (2002) "Too green for their own good?"	"Time August 26.
		A58-A60.	1 me, 1 agust 20.
CASE:	•	Stecklow, S. (2005) "How a Global Web of Activists	Gives Coke
CIEC		Problems in India," <i>Wall Street Journal</i> , June 7: A1.	or es conc
QUESTIONS:	•	Review the web pages www.killercoke.org and www	.cokefacts.org.
		What do you think of the challenges against Coke? A	
		unfair? Does it matter? How should Coke handle the	·
		Should they deal with Shrivastava directly? On what	grounds? Is it in
		Coke's interests to engage this debate?	
C 47		D. P.C. and C. Jack and an and Adv. Community on	N 22
Session #7 READINGS:		Political Solutions and the Corporation	November 22
READINGS:	•	Mulegeta, S. (2004) "Chad's poor await riches: Amic profits to help the impoverished nation, its 9 million is	-
		Newsday, April 9: A27.	emam expectant,
	•	Crossette, Barbara (1998) "Kofi Anna's Astonishing F	Pacts " New York
		Times, September 27: Section 4, p. 16.	dets, Thew Tork
	•	Crossette, Barbara (1998) "Most Consuming More, a	nd the Rich
		Consuming Much More," New York Times, September	
		3.	7.1
	•	Peel, M. (2004) "Oil giants face uncertain future as tr	ibal clashes over
		land grow more violent in Niger Delta: The region ha	is been troubled by
		long-standing tensions between the Itsekiri and Ijaw	over political
		power," Financial Times, May 5: 10.	
	•	Greene, J. & M. France (2005) "Culture wars hit corp	orate America,"
	CRITIQUE	Businessweek online, May 23, cover story.	
	ClarityCD	Levitt, T. (1958) "The dangers of social responsibility	y," Harvard
CASE.		Business Review, September-October: 41-50.	
CASE:	•	Shell Oil in Nigeria (<i>Business & Society</i>) What arguments did Shell make in defending its action	one in Nigorio?
QUESTIONS:	•	How would Shell's critics counter these arguments? I	
		Shell could or should have done anything differently	
		internal and external factors contributed to the emerg	_
		for Shell? What, if anything, should Shell do next? W	
		forward to 2004, you can see by the readings that the	
		has not stabilized? Could this have been avoided? Do	
		anything more than paying their taxes when they enter	_
		country? And what if the taxes go to a corrupt regime	, should they pull
		out or get involved with internal politics as Exxon die	
		do you think of Theodore Levitt's warning about the	implications if
		they do?	
No class		Thanksgiving	November 24
110 (1455		1 minsgiving	11016111061 24

Session #8	Defining Sustainable Development in Practice	November 29	
READINGS: CR	Davis, I. (2005) "The biggest contract," <i>The Economist</i> ,	May 26, 69-71.	
DUE:	Group Assignment		
EXERCISE:		Students will present the results of their group assignment. This will	
	lead to a discussion of an encompassing definition of con-	rporate	
	sustainable development.		
	Technological Solutions		
Session #9	and the Corporation	December 1	
READINGS:	• Tomorrow's Markets, Chapter 2, pp. 20-29.		
	• Magretta, J. (1997) "Growth through global sustainabilit	y: An	
	interview with Monsanto's CEO, Robert B. Shapiro," Ho	arvard	
	Business Review, January-February: 78-88.		
	• Rejeski, D. (2004) "The next small thing," <i>The Environn</i>	nental Forum,	
OHEGEIONG	March/April: 42-49.		
QUESTIONS:	• What do you think of Monsanto's aspirations for a life sciences strate		
	of "Food, Health, and Hope?" Why the European backla there none in the United States? How does European res		
	from the concerns of the developing world? As a fund n		
	you add Monsanto's stock to your portfolio in June 1998	-	
	not? Can genetically modified food help bring about a s		
	world? What about nanotechnology? In general, can tech		
	about a sustainable world?		
VIDEO:	• Harvest of Fear (120 minutes).		
Session #10	Sustainable Development and the Global Workforce	December 6	
READINGS:	Brown, D. (2004) "US urged to monitor global labor pol		
READINGS.	Publicity key to ensuring good practices," <i>Washington F</i>		
	12: A15.	osi, sandary	
	• (2004) "Sweating for fashion: Labour comes cl	neap, and will	
	get cheaper," The Economist, March 4.	1,	
	•(1996) "Share the wealth with the workforce."	Business Week,	
	April 22, p. 158,		
CASE:	• Nike's Dispute with the University of Oregon (<i>Business</i>	• •	
QUESTIONS:	Who do you believe has a social and ethical responsibility	•	
	and working conditions of the employees who produce N		
	and apparel, Nike or its subcontractors? Why do you thin		
	might Profs. Meckling and Jensen (reading in session #6 about the involvement of labor rights groups in Nike's but	•	
	The Fair Labor Association (http://www.fairlabor.org/) a		
	Workers Rights Consortium (http://www.workersrights.		
	how to establish and enforce fair labor standards. Which		
	either, do you favor, and why? Consider how you would		
	question if you were representing the following: Nike sh		
	human rights organization, a US labor union, or the gove		
	developing country. If you were CEO of Nike, what wo		
	in this situation? If you were the president of the University	sity of Oregon,	
*****	what would you do next?		
VIDEO:	• Nike Sweatshops, 48 Hours.		

	Sustainable Development	
Session #11	1	December 8
READINGS:	 Sevastopulo, D. (2003) "IFC may add human rights to lendin <i>Financial Times</i>, November 4. Lazarus, S. (2004) "The Equator Principles: A milestone of j. PR?," <i>Global Agenda</i>: 106-107. Armstrong, G. (2003) <i>Measuring Sustainability: A Framewod Private Sector Investments</i>, (Washington DC: International F. Corporation). 	just good
	• Gunther, M. (2002) "Investors of the world, Unite!" <i>Fortune</i> 78-86.	e, June 24:
CASE:	 Sustainable Development and Socially Responsible Investing 2000 (HBS 9-701-082) 	g: ABB in
QUESTIONS:	 Does it pay to be sustainable? How do you define sustainable your definition for a fund manager differ from your own idea what is expected of a corporation in the 21st century? 	
Session #12	Cooperation Toward a Sustainable World D	ecember 13
READINGS:	• Cavanaugh, G. (2004) "Global business ethics: Regulation, of self-restraint," <i>Business Ethics Quarterly</i> , 14 (4): 625-640.	code or
CASE:	 The Marine Stewardship Council (A) (IMD-2-0080) 	
QUESTIONS:	 Why did Unilever decide to set up the MSC? What were the and agendas of Unilever and WWF for setting up the MSC? to be their roles? What are the pitfalls and possible impact for parties should the MSC fail? What should Carl-Christian Schoon? 	What were or both
DUE:	 Individual Assignment (part 2) Be prepared to discuss your individual assignment regarding and future expectations on business regarding sustainable de 	_

Style Guide for References

Please use endnotes for all references in your papers. The form of entries should fit the following format.

Book entries follow this form: Authors' or Editors' Last Names, Initials. Year. *Title of book*. (Italic, lowercase except for the first letter of the first word and the first word after a long dash or colon). City Where Published, with abbreviation for state or province (North America) or full name of country, only if needed to identify a small city: Name of Publisher. Examples:

Granovetter, M.S. 1965. *Getting a job: A study of contracts and careers*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Kahn, R. L., & Boulding, E. (Eds.). 1964. *Power and conflict in organizations*. Glencoe, IL: Free Press.

R. Harbridge (Ed.) *Employment contracts: New Zealand experiences*. Wellington, New Zealand: Victoria University Press.

National Center for Education Statistics. 1992. *Digest of education statistics*. Washington DC: National Center for Education Statistics.

Periodical entries follow this form: Authors' Last Names, Initials. Year. Title of article or paper (in lowercase letters except for the first letter of the first word and the first word after a long dash or colon). *Name of Periodical*, volume number (issue number, *if needed*—see below): page numbers. Examples:

Shrivastava, P. 1995. The role of corporations in achieving ecological sustainability. *Academy of Management Review*, 20: 936-960.

Nonaka, I. 1991. The knowledge-creating company. *Harvard Business Review*, 69(6): 96-104.

Include an issue number *only* if every issue of the referenced periodical begins with a page numbered 1. (Look at more than one issue to check.)

If an article has no author, the periodical or producing body is referenced:

BusinessWeek. 1998. The best B-schools. October 19: 86-94

Chapters in books (including annuals) follow this form: Authors' Last Names, Initials. Year. Title of chapter (in lowercase letters except for the first letter of the first word and first word after a colon). In Editors' Initials and Last Names (Eds.), *Title of book:* page numbers. City Where Published, State or Country (only if necessary to identify the city): Name of Publisher. Examples:

Levitt, B., & March, J.G. 1988. Organizational learning. In W.R. Scott & J.F. Short (Ed.), *Annual review of sociology*, vol. 14: 319-340. Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews.

Dutton, J., Bartunek, J., & Gersick, C. 1996. Growing a personal, professional collaboration. In P. Frost & S. Taylor (Eds.), *Rhythms of academic life*: 239-248. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

For *unpublished* papers, dissertations, and papers presented at meetings:

Duncan, R. G. 1971. *Multiple decision-making structures in adapting to environmental uncertainty*. Working paper no. 54–71, Northwestern University Graduate School of Management, Evanston, IL.

Smith, M. H. 1980. *A multidimensional approach to individual differences in empathy*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Texas, Austin.

Wall, J. P. 1983. Work and nonwork correlates of the career plateau. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Academy of Management, Dallas.

For an *electronic document*, include the author's name, if known; the full title of the document; the full title of the work it is part of; the ftp, http, or other address; and the date the document was posted or accessed.